Meeting Minutes _ April 18th, 2017

Portland Public Schools Bond Accountability Committee (BAC) Location: BESC Board Auditorium



Memberspresent: KevinSpellman, Louis Fontenof[enzinChoephel, Charlie Johnson, T

Peterson

Not present: Willy Paul, Cheryl Twete

PPSOSMstaff present: Dan JungKen Fisher, Jerry Vincent, Derek HenderBenvin Dittmar,

David Mayne, Yousef Awwad

Board Liasonspresent Paul Anthony Pam Knowels

Board Liaisons not

present: Amy Kohnstamm

Board members

present: Mike Rosen

Next meeting: July 19^h, 2017

I. Welcome & Introductions

Kevin calls meeting to order 5t30 pm.

II. Public Comment

Baruti Artharee of Safeguard Security, who has been the company subcontracted by Skanska to manage security at the Franklin Modernization state he last 3 months, gave testimony about their experience working with Skanska, highlighting that there was a lack of communication and responsiveness from Skanska regarding inationissues on the site, as well as unprofessional titude in alldealings with Skanska regarding their contract with them. He recommends that going forward, the district:

- x Establish a clear vetting process, which ould include a site security plan with a clear budget.
- x Ensure that the contractor has a proven track rectof delivering on what they say they will deliver on.
- x Make sure that OSM has an aggressive tracking policy on making sure the contractor is delivering on all deliverables.

Jerry Vincent replies: Not much will be cut from either of these budgets. Especially for bond sites. IT has cutthreededicated high school positions which will start in July, but the support for bond schools coming online most likely through contracted work.

o The RFP forerformance audits going forward is being prepared

Tom Peterson asks: Can the BAC rethievs cope of work in the RFP the interest of having some ideas or how to refine what OSM needs from the new auditors?

Jerry Vincent replies: We can pass along the diraft when it is complete

Kevin Spellman asks: What is the schedule?

Dan Jung replies: April 25s the first draft deadline.

 An RFP foconstruction Contract Audits of the Roosevelt and Franklin GMP contracts is open. Two firms have provided proposals and we hope to have them under contract in the next few weeks.

x Stakeholder perspective

Kevin Spellman adds: This would be a good opportunity for lessons learned.

Jerry Vincent agrees.

Tom Peterson adds Ne understand timing and scale of other public projects, and that we a competing for the same smallusinesses and that we knowledge that those businesses also have capacity limitations with how much work they can take on.

Dan Jung replies: What we know now is that the RFP process has been successful. Hard bids and breaking things into smaller packages has not been successful.

x Budget perspective:

- Major changes:
 - x IP 17construction has been paused due to budget concerns over Grant and the possibility of DBRAC changing the configuration of schools.
 - x Review of QZAB money and how it applies to Roosevelt.

Louis Fontenot askshow many schools are affected by the lack of IP 17?

Dan Jung replies Approximately 10 schools.

Kevin Spellman asks: What kind of communication has happened with the sites?

Jerry Vincent replies: There has been direct site contact about the **sfatus**IP work.

x Schedule Perspective:

o Schedule status review.

IV. Projects Update

- x FranklinHigh School
 - o Review of Franklin Schedule and Budget.

Kevin Spellman askslow has the neighborhood been dealing with the parking?

Ken Fisher repliest is tight, but no major complaints. Most neighbors havlain 00 1 ghMot 9.09 T2-3()-3(i/81(s)-11)4(w)-4()-32(w)5e4(el)97u8